Case 1:07-cv-09172-AKH Document	1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
Gigi Reece	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendants.	
By Order of the Honorable Alvin K. Hellers 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Complaints for	stein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NOTICE (OF ADOPTION
All headings and paragraphs in the Master C instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein in addit Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These are marked and specific case information is set forth, as needed, be	with an '✓' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),
Plaintiffs, GIGI REECE, by his/her/their attorn BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfull	neys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI y allege:
I. PAR	TIES
A. PLAIN	
citizen of New York residing at 168-30 127th Avenue, (OF	2)
2. Alternatively, ☐ is t, and brings this claim in his (her) c	he of Decedent apacity as of the Estate of

Ca	ise 1.07-cv-09172-AKH Document	11 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 01 11		
3.		(hereinafter the "Derivative Plaintiff'), is a		
		, and has the following relationship to the		
Injured Plain	SPOUSE at all relevant times I	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff ngs this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the		
	•	and (his wife), Plaintiff Other:		
4. Field Techat	In the period from 9/17/2001 to 10/2/2	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for VERIZON as a		
•	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations		
Location(s) (From on or all	d Trade Center Site <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)bout _9/17/2001_ until _10/2/2001_; ly _8_ hours per day; for	The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		
	ly <u>21</u> days total.	✓ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at		
☐ The New From on or a Approximate Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:		
	Kills Landfill	From on or about 9/11/2001 until;		
From on or al Approximate	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately <u>0</u> hours per day; for Approximately <u>0</u> days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:		
*Continue t	-	pper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.		
5.	Injured Plaintiff			
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated		
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	or ingested toxic substances and particulates on all		
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at		
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.			

6.

Injured	l Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to \$405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to $\$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $\$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 3/14/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	<u>INC</u> .
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
☐ is pending	CORP
☐ Granting petition was made on	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
	✓ BREEZE CARTING CORP
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 4/9/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EJ DAVIES, INC.
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
I WORLD TRADE COMPANT, L.F.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

☐ OTHER:

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
□ Non-WTC Site Lessee	
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-09172-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spe ization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers J ; ☑ Contested, bu val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	urisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
remov	III CAUSES		
of lial law:	Plaintiff(s) seeks damages against the above bility, and asserts each element necessary to est		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
			Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-09172-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:			Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Asthma; Respiratory Problems; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; Sinus Problems; and Sinusitis Date of onset: 2/23/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 2/23/2005 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
<u> </u>				
Groui dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	f the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	2. As a direct and proximate result on the past suffered and/or	f the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ====	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges:	f the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== √	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering	f the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
dama ===== ☑	2. As a direct and proximate result of and Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or ges: Pain and suffering Loss of the enjoyment of life Loss of earnings and/or impairment of	f the	injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

 \checkmark

Other:

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Gigi Reece

Bv:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

September 27, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	t No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	GIGI REECE,
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
===	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
===	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORRY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLLBERN LLP